KIAS-O14002

The 3.5 keV X-ray line signature from annihilating and decaying dark matter in Weinberg model

Seungwon Baek,* P. Ko,† and Wan-Il Park‡

School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 130-722, Korea

(Dated: May 29, 2014)

arXiv:1405.3730v2 [hep-ph] 28 May 2014

Abstract

Recently two groups independently observed unidentified X-ray line signal at the energy 3.55 keV from the galaxy clusters and Andromeda galaxy. We show that this anomalous signal can be explained in annihilating dark matter model, for example, fermionic dark matter model in hidden sector with global $U(1)_X$ symmetry proposed by Weinberg. There are two scenarios for the production of the annihilating dark matters. In the first scenario the dark matters with mass 3.55 keV decouple from the interaction with Goldstone bosons and go out of thermal equilibrium at high temperature (> 1 TeV) when they are still relativistic, their number density per comoving volume being essentially fixed to be the current value. The correct relic abundance of this warm dark matter is obtained by assuming that about $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$ relativistic degrees of freedom were present at the decoupling temperature or alternatively large entropy production occurred at high temperature. In the other scenario, the dark matters were absent at high temperature, and as the universe cools down, the SM particles annihilate or decay to produce the dark matters non-thermally as in 'freeze-in' scenario. It turns out that the DM production from Higgs decay is the dominant one. In the model we considered, only the first scenario can explain both X-ray signal and relic abundance. The X-ray signal arises through p-wave annihilation of dark matter pair into two photons through the scalar resonance without violating the constraints from big bang nucleosynthesis, cosmic microwave background, and astrophysical objects such as red giants or white dwarfs. We also discuss the possibility that the signal may result from a decaying dark matter in a simple extension of Weinberg model.

*swbaek@kias.re.kr

†pko@kias.re.kr

‡wipark@kias.re.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently unidentified X-ray line at 3.55 keV energy has been discovered independently by two groups [1]. Its statistical significance over the background is 4-5σ. One possible explanation for the anomaly may be long-searched-for dark matter (DM). There are already many works trying to explain the line signal in dark matter models [2].

In this paper, we show that the X-ray line signal can be obtained by DM annihilation. Specifically we work in the annihilating dark matter model proposed by Weinberg [3]. The model has global $U(1)_X$ symmetry under which all the standard model (SM) particles are neutral and a fermionic dark matter and a dark scalar are charged. The dark scalar mediates the interaction between the dark sector and the SM sector [4]. Weinberg showed that the Goldstone boson resulting from the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the global symmetry can mimic dark radiation and contribute to the effective neutrino number $N_{\rm eff}$. If the Goldstone bosons go out of thermal equilibrium at the temperature just above the muon (electron) mass and we can get $\Delta N_{\rm eff} = 0.39(0.57)$ [3]. The collider signature of the Goldstone bosons and the indirect signal of DM annihilating into two photons in light of the Fermi-LAT 130 GeV gamma-line have been studied in the model [5].

If the X-ray line signal is interpreted as a DM annihilation, the DM mass should be about 3.55 keV and the required annihilation cross section into two photons times relative velocity of the dark matters should be [6]

$$\sigma v_{\rm rel} = (7.1 \times 10^{-8} - 1.3 \times 10^{-6}) \text{ pb}. \tag{1}$$

In principle the DM relic abundance can be obtained by two independent mechanisms. In the first mechanism dark matters go out of thermal equilibrium at high temperature when they are relativistic. This is the same with the mechanism by which the relic neutrinos are obtained. Since the dark matter for X-ray line signal is much heavier than neutrinos, we need large number of relativistic degrees of freedom ($g_*(T_f) \sim 3500$[20]) at decoupling temperature T_f to get the correct relic density. The large $g_*(T_f)$ can be obtained, for example, by assuming new physics such as large extra dimensional model where towers of Kaluza-Klein excited states of the SM are predicted. In the second mechanism, even if there were no primordial dark matter relic, the dark matters can be produced by non-thermal annihilation of the bottom quark pair or decay of Higgs boson at low temperature as in the freeze-in mechanism [7]. Although there may be constraints on annihilating dark matters,

for example, from big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN), cosmic microwave background (CMB), and astrophysical objects such as red giants or white dwarfs, they can be evaded in our model.

II. THE MODEL

The model introduces a fermionic dark matter ψ and a scalar field φ charged under a new global symmetry $U(1)_X$ with the quantum number 1 and 2 respectively. Then the Lagrangian invariant under $U(1)_X$ can be written as [3]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \partial_\mu\varphi^*\partial^\mu\varphi - \mu^2\varphi^*\varphi - \lambda_\varphi(\varphi^*\varphi)^2 - \lambda_{H\varphi}H^\dagger H\varphi^*\varphi \\ &\quad +\overline{\psi}i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m_\psi\overline{\psi}\psi - (\frac{f}{2}\overline{\psi}\psi^c\varphi + h.c.),\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where H is the SM Higgs doublet field and the coupling constant f can be taken to be positive without loss of generality by appropriate field redefinition. To make scalar potential stable the quartic couplings should satisfy

$$|\lambda_{H\varphi}| < 2\sqrt{\lambda_H\lambda_\varphi}, \tag{3}$$

where λ_H is the quartic coupling of H. After H and φ obtain vacuum expectation values v_H and v_φ, respectively, we can expand the scalar fields as

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ v_H + h\end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{2}(v_\varphi + \phi)e^{i\alpha/v_\varphi}, \tag{4}$$

where α is the Goldstone boson. Then the global $U(1)_X$ symmetry is broken down to Z_2 symmetry under which $\psi \to -\psi$ and all the other fields are unchanged. As a result the ψ field splits into two Majorana mass eigenstates $\psi_\pm$:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_+ + i\psi_-), \\ \psi^c &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_+ - i\psi_-),\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with the masses $m_{\psi_\pm} = m_\psi \pm f v_\varphi/\sqrt{2}$. The residual Z_2 symmetry prevents the DM candidate for which we take ψ_- from decaying. The real parts of the scalar fields also mix with each other by mixing angle α_H,

$$\begin{pmatrix}h\\ \phi\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}c_H & s_H\\ -s_H & c_H\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}H_1\\ H_2\end{pmatrix} \equiv O\begin{pmatrix}H_1\\ H_2\end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$



FIG. 1: Dominant Feynman diagrams contributing to the relic density calculation.

where $c_H(s_H) \equiv \cos\alpha_H(\sin\alpha_H)$ and $H_i (i = 1, 2)$ are the scalar mass eigenstates with masses m_i. With the above definition, $\alpha_H < 0$ for $m_2 < m_1$. We take H_1 ($m_1 = 125$ GeV) as the Higgs particle discovered at the LHC.

III. ANNIHILATING DM SCENARIO

If the dark matters go out of thermal equilibrium in the early universe when they are still relativistic, the current relic abundance is given by [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\psi_-} h^2 &= 278 \frac{g_{\rm eff}}{\gamma g_{*S}(T_f)} \left(\frac{m_{\psi_-}}{3.55{\rm keV}}\right), \\ \text{or} \quad Y(T_f) &\equiv \frac{n(T_f)}{s(T_f)} = 0.278 \frac{g_{\rm eff}}{g_{*S}(T_f)}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $g_{\rm eff} = g({\rm bosons}), 3g/4({\rm fermion})$ and γ is the factor by which the entropy per comoving volume increases by some out-of-equilibrium processes after the decoupling of ψ_-. In this case the relic density is not sensitive to the decoupling temperature T_f, and we take $10^3\,{\rm GeV} \lesssim T_f \lesssim 10^{10}\,{\rm GeV}$. Taking $\Omega_{\psi_-} h^2 = 0.1199$, $m_{\psi_-} = 3.55$ keV and $g_{\rm eff} = 3/4{*}2 = 1.5$, we get $g_{*S}(x_f) = 3.4 \times 10^3/\gamma$. We can either assume large γ or some beyond-the-standard-model physics, for example large extra dimensional models with Kaluza-Klein towers of the SM particles with masses less than T_f, to explain this rather large $g_{*S}(x_f)$. At the freeze-out temperature T_f, the DM ψ_-'s are decoupled from the annihilation process $\psi_-\psi_- \to \alpha\alpha$ and go out of thermal equilibrium. This process is dominated by the Feynman diagrams shown in Fig. 1. The interactions between the Goldstone boson and dark matter/Higgs particles are proportional to $1/v_\varphi$. Other relevant parameters for the diagrams are the Yukawa coupling f, the scalar masses $m_i (i = 1, 2)$. For example, the s-channel diagram gives the annihilation cross section

$$\sigma v_{\rm rel} \approx \frac{f^2 v_{\rm rel}^2 s^2}{256\pi v_\varphi^2 (s - m_\phi^2)^2}, \tag{8}$$



FIG. 2: Allowed parameter space in (v_φ, f)-plane for relic abundance and X-ray line signal. The colored regions can explain the X-ray line signal by $\psi_-\psi_- \to \gamma\gamma$ for the mixing angles $\alpha_H = -10^{-2}, -10^{-5}, -10^{-8}$ from left. The black lines give the correct relic density $\Omega_{\psi_-}h^2 = 0.1199$ by decoupling at $T_f = 10^3, 10^6, 10^{10}$ GeV from above.

where we neglected the small α_H. Since ψ_- decouples at high temperature, we can approximate $s \approx T^2 \gg m^2_{\psi_-} (\sim m^2_\phi)$. Then, since the number density for relativistic particles $n \approx T^3$ and $v_{\rm rel} \approx 1$, when

$$n\langle\sigma v_{\rm rel}\rangle \approx \frac{f^2 T^3}{256\pi v_\varphi^2} \tag{9}$$

becomes less than the Hubble expansion parameter $H \approx T^2/m_{\rm pl}$, the DM particles decouple from the thermal bath. The freeze-out temperature is determined from the condition

$$\frac{f^2 m_{\rm pl} T_f}{256\pi v_\varphi^2} \approx 1. \tag{10}$$

Fig. 2 shows allowed parameter space in (v_φ, f)-plane for relic abundance and X-ray line signal. The colored regions can explain the X-ray line signal by $\psi_-\psi_- \to \gamma\gamma$ (See (20)) for the mixing angles $\alpha_H = -10^{-2}, -10^{-5}, -10^{-8}$ from left. We assumed the resonance condition, $m_2 = 2m_{\psi_-} = 7.1$ keV, is satisfied. The black lines give the correct relic density $\Omega_{\psi_-}h^2 = 0.1199$ by satisfying (10) for $T_f = 10^3, 10^6, 10^{10}$ GeV from above. Large mixing angle is disfavored because the invisible Higgs decay width becomes too large, and we restrict $\alpha_H \lesssim 0.01$. If ψ_+ mass becomes similar to the mass of the DM ψ_-, we can get additional coannihilation channel $\psi_-\psi_+ \to \alpha\alpha$. However, we do not consider this case because it does not change the decoupling temperature much.

The cross section of the DM annihilation into the SM particles always involves the mixing matrix, O, in the form

$$\left|\sum_{i=1,2}\frac{O_{1i}O_{2i}}{s-m_i^2+im_i\Gamma_i}\right|^2, \tag{11}$$

where Γ_i is the total decay width of H_i. The cross section is vanishing for $s \gg m_i^2$ due to the orthogonality of matrix O. The s-channel annihilation cross section $\psi_-\psi_- \to f\bar{f}$ is obtained to be

$$\sigma v_{\rm rel} = \frac{N_c^f f^2 m_f^2 v_{\rm rel}^2 s}{64\pi v_H^2}\left|\sum_{i=1,2}\frac{O_{1i}O_{2i}}{s-m_i^2+im_i\Gamma_i}\right|^2\left(1-\frac{4m_f^2}{s}\right)^{3/2}. \tag{12}$$

For the $\psi_-\psi_- \to W^+, W^-(Z,Z)$ it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma v_{\rm rel} &= \frac{f^2 v_{\rm rel}^2}{32\pi v_H^2}\left|\sum_{i=1,2}\frac{O_{1i}O_{2i}}{s-m_i^2+im_i\Gamma_i}\right|^2 \\ &\times\left\{m_W^4\left(2+\frac{(s-2m_W^2)^2}{4m_W^4}\right)\sqrt{1-\frac{4m_W^2}{s}}\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{m_Z^4}{2}\left(2+\frac{(s-2m_Z^2)^2}{4m_Z^4}\right)\sqrt{1-\frac{4m_Z^2}{s}}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

These forms suggest the interactions become stronger as s becomes smaller due to imperfect cancellation and $1/s$ behavior. The t-channel ψ_--exchanging $\psi_-\psi_- \to H_1H_1$ annihilation cross section

$$\sigma v_{\rm rel} \approx \frac{f^4\alpha_H^4 v_{\rm rel}^4 m_{\rm pl}}{3072\pi s} \tag{14}$$

does not cancel but is suppressed by $(f\alpha_H)^2$ compared with the s-channel cross section and is always negligible.

As the universe cools down, the decoupled dark matters may annihilate into the SM particles or additional dark matter particles may produced by pair annihilation of the SM particles, when the interactions of dark matters with the SM particles are strong enough. Now let us consider these possibilities. The Boltzmann equation for the number density of ψ_-, n_{ψ_-}, is written as

$$\frac{dn_{\psi_-}}{dt}+3Hn_{\psi_-} = -\langle\sigma(\psi_-\psi_- \to X\bar{X})v_{\rm rel}\rangle\left[n_{\psi_-}^2-(n_{\psi_-}^{\rm EQ})^2\right], \tag{15}$$

where $X(\bar{X})$ represents any SM particle and $n_{\psi_-}^{\rm EQ}$ is the number density if it were in equilibrium with the thermal bath at time t (or temperature T). The temperature of ψ_- is smaller

than the thermal plasma temperature, T, by factor $(g_{*S}(T)/g_{*S}(T_f))^{1/3}$ [8]. Therefore, we always get $n_{\psi_-} < n_{\psi_-}^{\rm EQ}$ after the decoupling of ψ_-, implying that additional ψ_- may be created from thermal plasma at lower temperature but not the other way around. It turns out that the production yield, $Y = n_{\psi_-}/s$, is the largest for $b\bar{b} \to \psi_-\psi_-$. The Boltzmann equation for this case

$$\frac{dY}{dT} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi g_*}{45}} \frac{3 f^2 \alpha_H^2 m_b^4 m_{\rm pl}}{64\pi v_H^2 m_{\psi_-}^4} \frac{K_1^2(m_b/T)}{K_2^2(m_{\psi_-}/T)} (Y^2 - Y_{\rm eq}^2), \tag{16}$$

gives the correct relic abundance when $f\alpha_H \sim 10^{-6}$ assuming there is no dark matter at high energy.

There is another "freeze-in" process for the production of ψ_- DM: the decay of H_1, $H_1 \to \psi_-\psi_-$ [7]. In this case the Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{dY}{dT} \simeq -\frac{m_1^2 \Gamma_{H_1 \to \psi_-\psi_-}}{2\pi^2 s H} K_1\left(\frac{m_1}{T}\right), \tag{17}$$

with

$$\Gamma_{H_1 \to \psi_-\psi_-} \simeq \frac{f^2 \alpha_H^2 m_1}{32\pi} \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\psi_-}^2}{m_1^2}\right)^{3/2}, \tag{18}$$

gives the correct relic abundance when $f\alpha_H \sim 10^{-8}$. Therefore we can see the Higgs decay process is more effective in producing the dark matter particles via freeze-in mechanism. However, as can be seen in Fig. 2, in most parameter space $f\alpha_H \sim 10^{-8}$ is large enough to allow the DM to be in thermal equilibrium with the Goldstone bosons at high temperature, $T > 10^3$ GeV, making this freeze-in mechanism irrelevant[21].

Now let us consider decoupling of the Goldstone bosons from the thermal bath. The decoupling temperature was estimated by Weinberg [3]. He proposed an interesting possibility that the Goldstone boson "can be masquerading as fractional cosmic neutrinos" and contribute to the effective neutrino number by amount $\Delta N_{\rm eff} = 0.39(0.57)$ when they decouple just before muon (electron) pair annihilation. Using $\lambda_{H\varphi} \approx -m_1^2 \alpha_H / v_H v_\varphi$ for small α_H and small m_2, the condition for the Goldstones go out of thermal equilibrium just before $f - \bar{f}$ pair annihilation becomes [3]

$$\frac{\alpha_H^4 m_f^7 m_{\rm pl}}{v_H^2 v_\varphi^2 m_2^4} \approx 1. \tag{19}$$

For example, for $(\alpha_H, v_\varphi) \approx (-10^{-4}, 10^6 {\rm GeV})$ $((\alpha_H, v_\varphi) \approx (-10^{-2}, 10^2 {\rm GeV}))$ the Goldstone bosons decouple just before muon (electron) pair annihilation. As α_H becomes smaller, the Goldstones decouple much earlier and $\Delta N_{\rm eff} \ll 1$.



FIG. 3: Feynman diagram contributing to the 3.55 keV X-ray line signal.

Now let us turn to the $\psi_-\psi_- \to \gamma\gamma$ process for the 3.55 keV X-ray line signal. The main contribution to this process is the H_i mediated s-channel process shown in Fig. 3, where a pair of ψ_-'s annihilate into the dark scalar ϕ which mixes into the SM scalar h, followed by h decaying into two photons via one-loop diagram inside which $W^\pm$ and heavy quarks are running. As a result, contrary to the $\psi_-\psi_- \to \alpha\alpha$ case for the relic density, $\psi_-\psi_- \to \gamma\gamma$ is very sensitive to the mixing angle α_H. The annihilation cross section for $\psi_-\psi_- \to \gamma\gamma$ is obtained to be

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma v_{\rm rel} &= \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}^2 f^2 v_{\rm rel}^2 s^2}{2048\pi^3 v_H^2}\left|\sum_{i=1,2}\frac{O_{1i}O_{2i}}{s-m_i^2+im_i\Gamma_i}\right|^2 \\ &\times \left|F_1\left(\frac{4M_W^2}{s}\right)+\sum_f N_c^f Q_f^2 F_{1/2}\left(\frac{4m_f^2}{s}\right)\right|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $v_{\rm rel} \sim 10^{-3}$ is relative velocity of dark matter and $s \approx 4m_{\psi_-}^2/(1-v_{\rm rel}^2/4)$. The loop functions $F_1, F_{1/2}$ can be found in [10]. To get the plot in Fig. 2 we averaged over the velocity distribution of dark matter, $\langle\sigma v_{\rm rel}\rangle_v = \int d^3v e^{-v^2/v_0^2}\sigma v / \int d^3v e^{-v^2/v_0^2}$ with $v_0 \approx 10^{-3}$. Too large mixing angle may enhance the Higgs invisible decay rates beyond the current experimental limit through, $H_1 \to H_2H_2$ or $H_1 \to \psi_-\psi_-$. Currently at most 19% deviation from the SM Higgs decay width ($\Gamma_{\rm SM} \approx 4$ MeV) is allowed from the LHC Higgs search experiments [11], and we restrict $|\alpha_H| \le 0.01$ in Fig. 2.

A. Constraints

In this section we discuss some potential cosmological and astrophysical constraints on the model. Although the dark matter ψ_- itself contributes to the effective number of neutrinos $N_{\rm eff}$ [12], since ψ_- decouples from the thermal bath at very high energy, its contribution is

negligible in our model. Another constraint may come from the injection of energy into the thermal plasma from $\psi_-\psi_- \to \gamma\gamma$ at CMB epoch. For s-wave annihilation into two photons, the CMB observation constrains

$$\langle \sigma v_{\rm rel} \rangle_{\rm CMB} < 2.86 \times 10^{-7}\,{\rm pb}, \tag{21}$$

for a DM with mass 3.55 keV [6]. In our case, the annihilation process (20) is p-wave, and can easily avoid the above constraint because the relative velocity of DMs at CMB era was much smaller than that at current universe.

In some astrophysical objects such as red giants, white dwarfs, and neutron stars, the plasmons may annihilate into a pair of DM particles through the inverse process of X-ray signal, *i.e.* $\gamma\gamma \to \psi_-\psi_-$. If the produced DMs escape the objects, they could cool the stars faster than observation. This gives the constraint on the energy loss per unit volume per unit time [13]

$$\frac{d^2E}{dVdt} < 1.6 \times 10^6\,{\rm erg/cm^3/sec}. \tag{22}$$

In our model taking $\sigma v_{\rm rel}(\gamma\gamma \to \psi_-\psi_-)$ to be the same with (1) for rough estimation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2E}{dVdt} &= \frac{1}{2} m_\gamma n_\gamma^2 \langle \sigma v_{\rm rel}(\gamma\gamma \to \psi_-\psi_-) \rangle \\ &\sim 10^9\,{\rm erg/cm^3/sec}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where we have taken the parameters of red giants from [13]. Although, compared with (22), the energy loss is too large, we find that the elastic scattering cross sections $\psi_- e({\rm He}) \to \psi_- e({\rm He})$ are also large enough to trap the DM inside red giants. The necessary cross section is about 1.6 pb [13]. The cross section of $\psi_- e \to \psi_- e$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\psi_- e \to \psi_- e) &= \frac{f^2 m_e^2 \cos^2\alpha_H \sin^2\alpha_H}{32\pi s v_H^2} \\ &\times \left[1 + \frac{4(m_e^2 - m_{\psi_-}^2)}{s\beta} \log \frac{m_{\psi_-}^2 + s\beta}{m_{\psi_-}^2}\right] \\ &\approx 3 \times 10^{-4}\,{\rm pb} \ll 1.6\,{\rm pb} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\beta = [s - (m_e + m_{\psi_-})^2][s - (m_e - m_{\psi_-})^2]/s^2$. The electron contribution is too small. However, the He contribution is more than $(m_{\rm He}/m_e)^4 \approx 10^{13}$ larger than the electron cross section, even considering the order one uncertainty of scalar-He coupling, which is large enough to keep the DMs from escaping red giants. We have checked that similar arguments apply to other astrophysical objects.

IV. DECAYING DM SCENARIO

Another possibility in the Weinberg model is to utilize $\psi_+ \to \psi_- \alpha$ followed by $\alpha \to \gamma\gamma$ by some additional new physics acting on the Goldstone boson α that is described by effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} = \frac{c_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}\alpha_{\rm em}}{4\pi v_\varphi}\alpha F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tag{25}$$

where $c_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}$ is a model dependent coupling which encodes underlying new physics for $\alpha \to \gamma\gamma$. If two Majorana states ψ_+ and ψ_- are quite heavy with small mass difference $\Delta M \sim 7.1$ keV, one can produce photon spectrum from $\psi_+ \to \psi_- + \alpha \to \psi_- + \gamma\gamma$. However we have to discuss first the explicit global symmetry breaking which would generate nonzero finite mass for the Nambu-Goldstone boson α.

A. Explicit global symmetry breaking

Note that $\alpha \to \gamma\gamma$ decay requires nonzero mass for the Goldstone boson α. This seems contradictory to the model with spontaneously broken global dark symmetry, which would imply a massless Nambu-Goldstone (NG) boson α. However this is not really the case. Any global symmetry is expected to be broken by gravity, so that global symmetry would be only approximately conserved. Small explicit breaking of spontaneously broken global symmetry would generate small but nonzero finite mass for the NG boson α. The explicit global symmetry breaking term can be organized as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm sym-breaking} = -\frac{1}{2}m_\alpha^2\alpha^2 - \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{4!}\alpha^4 + ... \tag{26}$$

One can consider spurion technique to write down the explicit global symmetry breaking term, assuming a spurion χ with $U(1)_X$ charge -1 and mass dimension equal to zero so that $\chi\varphi$ is $U(1)_X$ invariant and dim-1 operator. After constructing the $U(1)_X$ invariant Lagrangian, we set $\chi = v_\varphi/M_{\rm pl}$ and then global $U(1)_X$ will be explicitly broken due to quantum gravity effects. Note that $\chi \to 0$ as $M_{\rm pl} \to \infty$, the full global symmetry would be recovered in this limit as anticipated.

Then the leading operators with positive powers of χ that would break global symmetry explicitly due to quantum gravity effects would be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm spurion} = c_2 M_{\rm pl}^2(\chi\varphi)^2 + c_4(\chi\varphi)^4 + c_{2H}H^\dagger H(\chi\varphi)^2 + H.c.... \tag{27}$$

where c_i's are $\sim O(1)$ dimensionless couplings using the naive dimensional analysis. We assume that c_i's are real. Note that the decay $\phi \to \alpha\alpha$ is allowed by explicit global symmetry breaking, if it is kinematically allowed.

Under our assumption $\chi = v_\varphi/M_{\rm pl}$, only the c_2 term plays an important role, whose effects can be parametrized in term of a single coupling c_2. And c_2 can be traded with the Goldstone boson mass m_α by the relation $m_\alpha^2 \equiv 6c_2 v_\varphi^2$. The NG boson mass m_α, $\phi \to \alpha\alpha, 4\alpha, 6\alpha$, *etc.* and α^4 self-interaction as well as $\phi\phi \to \alpha\alpha, 4\alpha$, *etc.* are all correlated with the coupling c_2.

Once α gets massive through explicit global symmetry breaking, it would be able to decay into $\gamma\gamma$ and any other SM particles if kinematically allowed. Motivated by 3.5 keV X-ray line, we will consider the decay chains, $\psi_+ \to \psi_- + \alpha \to \psi_- + \gamma\gamma$, assuming $\Delta M \sim 7.1$ keV.

B. Long lived ψ_+

If ψ_+ could be cosmologically stable, we are led to consider two-component DM model with both ψ_- and ψ_+. In order that it could explain the observed X-ray lines, the following condition is to be fulfilled:

$$\Gamma(\psi_+ \to \psi_- \gamma\gamma) \simeq (3.3 \times 10^{-48} - 5.9 \times 10^{-47})\,{\rm GeV} \times \left(\frac{m_{\rm DM}}{\rm GeV}\right). \tag{28}$$

We note, however, that the above 3-body decay process gives broad photon spectrum peaked around 3.55 keV rather than the observed line shape, for mass difference $\Delta M = 7.1$ keV. The decay rate of this mode is roughly order of

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &\approx \frac{c_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}^2 \alpha_{\rm em}^2 (\Delta M)^9}{192\pi^5 m_\alpha^4 v_\varphi^4} \\ &\approx 4.2 \times 10^{-48}\,{\rm GeV} \\ &\times \left(\frac{c_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}}{1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta M}{7.1\,{\rm keV}}\right)^9 \left(\frac{10\,{\rm keV}}{m_\alpha}\right)^4 \left(\frac{10^3\,{\rm GeV}}{v_\varphi}\right)^4 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

assuming the α is more massive than the mass difference ΔM. Both ψ_+ and ψ_- can be thermalized by Higgs portal interaction and the emission of Goldstone boson, $\psi_i\psi_i \to H_k H_l, \alpha\alpha$ ($i = +$ or$-$, and $k, l = 1, 2$), as well as the coannihilation channel $\psi_+\psi_- \to H_{k=1,2}\alpha$. Fig. 4 shows the thermal relic density as a function of the H_2 mass m_2, assuming the the mass difference $\Delta M = 7.1$ keV, the coupling $c_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} = 1$, the Goldstone boson mass $m_\alpha = 10$ keV for two different values of DM mass $m_{\rm DM} = 1$ MeV and 1 GeV. For $m_{\rm DM} = 1$ MeV (1 GeV) we



FIG. 4: The $\Omega_{\rm DM}h^2$ as a function of m_2 for $(m_{\rm DM}, v_\varphi) = (1\,{\rm MeV}, 500\,{\rm GeV})$ (red line) $(m_{\rm DM}, v_\varphi) = (1\,{\rm GeV}, 3000\,{\rm GeV})$ (blue line). For other parameters, we assumed $f = 0.1, |\alpha_H| = 10^{-4}$, $c_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} = 1$, $\Delta M = 7.1$ keV, and $m_\alpha = 10$ keV.

take $v_\varphi \approx 3000(500)$ GeV by comparing (28) and (29). We can see that the relic abundance can be easily accommodated.

The photons produced in the decay of the long lived ψ_+ inject energy to the baryon-photon plasma at the epoch of CMB decoupling. Since such an energy injection disturb CMB photon and reionization history, it is highly constrained by the present CMB data [17–19]. The constraint can be interpreted as a bound on the decay rate of the dark matter:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_+ &\lesssim 10^{-10}\frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{{\rm GeV}^3}\left(\frac{m_+}{E_\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{\rho_+}\right)\left(\frac{T_{\rm cmb}}{T_0}\right)^3 \\ &\simeq 2\times 10^{-43}\,{\rm GeV}\left(\frac{m_+}{1\,{\rm GeV}}\right)\left(\frac{7\,{\rm keV}}{E_\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{\rho_+}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $\rho_{\rm DM}$ is the present energy density of dark matter, E_γ is the injected energy from a decay of ψ_+, ρ_+ is the present energy density of ψ_+, and we used $\Omega_{\rm DM} = 0.268$, $T_{\rm cmb} = 0.26\,{\rm eV}$ and $T_0 = 2.35\times 10^{-4}\,{\rm eV}$. The decay width for X-ray signal, (28), satisfies the above bound for $m_{\rm DM} \lesssim 10^3$ GeV.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed annihilating and decaying dark matter scenarios to explain the current dark matter relic abundance and recently observed unidentified 3.55 keV X-ray line signal. In these scenarios we introduce a global symmetry $U(1)_X$, and also a Dirac fermion ψ, a scalar

φ which are charged under $U(1)_X$. The scalar field φ gets a vacuum expectation value v_φ with a value in the range 10^3–10^{16} GeV, which breaks the $U(1)_X$ down to Z_2 symmetry under which $X \to -X$. The remnant Z_2 symmetry guarantees the stability of dark matter which we take to be ψ_-. After the symmetry breaking the Dirac fermion ψ splits into two Majorana fermions $\psi_\pm$ with masses $m_{\psi_\pm}$. The real component of dark scalar φ, ϕ, mixes with the SM Higgs h with mixing angle α_H making two scalar mass eigenstates $H_i (i = 1, 2)$ with masses m_i.

In the annihilating DM scenario, the monochromatic X-ray signal can be explained for the mixing angle $|\alpha_H| \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-2}$, the Yukawa coupling of the dark matter and the dark scalar $f \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-3}$ when the resonance condition $m_2 = 2m_{\psi_-}$ is satisfied with an accuracy at the level of 10^{-3}. In the early universe where large relativistic degrees of freedom ($\sim 10^3$) which possibly comes from new physics contributions were present (entropy production processes during the evolution of the universe can reduce this number), the process $\psi_-\psi_- \leftrightarrow \alpha\alpha$ thermalizing ψ_- with the thermal plasma decouples around temperature $T_f = 10^3 - 10^{10}$ GeV. Then the energy of dark matter simply red shifts and becomes nonrelativistic at the temperature below its mass as the universe expands and cools down. The Goldstone bosons may decouple from the SM thermal bath at temperature just above muon (electron) annihilation and contribute to the effective neutrino number as large as 0.39 (0.57).

Another possibility to give the X-ray signal in the model comes from the decay of long-lived ψ_+: $\psi_+ \to \psi_-\alpha \to \psi_-\gamma\gamma$, although the spectrum is not sharp. We can obtain the required decay width for $\Delta M = m_{\psi_+} - m_{\psi_-} \approx 7.1$ keV for the decaying DM mass $m_{\rm DM} \approx m_{\psi_\pm}$=1 GeV (1 MeV) when $v_\varphi = 500(3000)$ GeV, $m_\alpha = 10$ keV, $f = 0.1$, $|\alpha_H| = 10^{-4}$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NRF Research Grant 2012R1A2A1A01006053, and by SRC program of NRF Grant No. 20120001176 funded by MEST through Korea Neutrino Research Center at Seoul National University.

[1] E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A. Foster, R. K. Smith, M. Loewenstein and S. W. Randall, arXiv:1402.2301 [astro-ph.CO]; A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, D. Iakubovskyi and J. Franse,

arXiv:1402.4119 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] H. Ishida, K. S. Jeong and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1402.5837 [hep-ph]; D. P. Finkbeiner and N. Weiner, arXiv:1402.6671 [hep-ph]; T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1402.6965 [hep-ph]; J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo and A. Ringwald, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 103511 (2014) [arXiv:1402.7335 [hep-ph]]; H. M. Lee, S. C. Park and W. -I. Park, arXiv:1403.0865 [astro-ph.CO]; R. Krall, M. Reece and T. Roxlo, arXiv:1403.1240 [hep-ph]; K. Kong, J. -C. Park and S. C. Park, arXiv:1403.1536 [hep-ph]; K. -Y. Choi and O. Seto, arXiv:1403.1782 [hep-ph]; S. Baek and H. Okada, arXiv:1403.1710 [hep-ph]; M. Cicoli, J. P. Conlon, M. C. D. Marsh and M. Rummel, arXiv:1403.2370 [hep-ph]; F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, arXiv:1403.4638 [hep-ph]; C. Kolda and J. Unwin, arXiv:1403.5580 [hep-ph]; R. Allahverdi, B. Dutta and Y. Gao, arXiv:1403.5717 [hep-ph]; N. -E. Bomark and L. Roszkowski, arXiv:1403.6503 [hep-ph]; S. P. Liew, arXiv:1403.6621 [hep-ph]; P. Ko, Z. kang, T. Li and Y. Liu, arXiv:1403.7742 [hep-ph]; S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov, arXiv:1404.1339 [hep-ph]; F. S. Queiroz and K. Sinha, arXiv:1404.1400 [hep-ph]; E. Dudas, L. Heurtier and Y. Mambrini, arXiv:1404.1927 [hep-ph]; K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, arXiv:1404.2220 [hep-ph]; K. P. Modak, arXiv:1404.3676 [hep-ph]; J. M. Cline, Y. Farzan, Z. Liu, G. D. Moore and W. Xue, arXiv:1404.3729 [hep-ph]; H. M. Lee, arXiv:1404.5446 [hep-ph]; D. J. Robinson and Y. Tsai, arXiv:1404.7118 [hep-ph]; J. P. Conlon and F. V. Day, arXiv:1404.7741 [hep-ph].

[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 241301 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1971 [astro-ph.CO]].

[4] S. Baek, P. Ko and W. -I. Park, JHEP **1202**, 047 (2012) [arXiv:1112.1847 [hep-ph]]; S. Baek, P. Ko, W. -I. Park and E. Senaha, JHEP **1211**, 116 (2012) [arXiv:1209.4163 [hep-ph]]; S. Baek, P. Ko, W. -I. Park and E. Senaha, JHEP **1305**, 036 (2013) [arXiv:1212.2131 [hep-ph]].

[5] K. Cheung, W. -Y. Keung and T. -C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 015007 (2014) [arXiv:1308.4235 [hep-ph]]; C. Garcia-Cely, A. Ibarra and E. Molinaro, JCAP **1311**, 061 (2013) [arXiv:1310.6256 [hep-ph]]; S. Baek and H. Okada, Phys. Lett. B **728**, 630 (2014) [arXiv:1311.2380 [hep-ph]].

[6] M. Frandsen, F. Sannino, I. M. Shoemaker and O. Svendsen, arXiv:1403.1570 [hep-ph].

[7] L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, JHEP **1003**, 080 (2010) [arXiv:0911.1120 [hep-ph]].

[8] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, "The Early Universe", Addison-Wesley (1990).

[9] X. Chu, T. Hambye and M. H. G. Tytgat, JCAP **1205**, 034 (2012) [arXiv:1112.0493 [hep-ph]].

[10] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane and S. Dawson, "The Higgs Hunter's Guide," Addison-

Wesley (1990).

[11] P. P. Giardino, K. Kannike, I. Masina, M. Raidal and A. Strumia, arXiv:1303.3570 [hep-ph].

[12] Cl. Boehm, M. J. Dolan and C. McCabe, JCAP **1308**, 041 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6270 [hep-ph]].

[13] S. Davidson, B. Campbell and D. C. Bailey, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2314 (1991).

[14] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 19 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO]].

[15] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].

[16] N. Said, E. Di Valentino and M. Gerbino, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 2, 023513 (2013) [arXiv:1304.6217 [astro-ph.CO]].

[17] T. R. Slatyer, N. Padmanabhan and D. P. Finkbeiner, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043526 (2009) [arXiv:0906.1197 [astro-ph.CO]].

[18] J. M. Cline and P. Scott, JCAP **1303**, 044 (2013) [Erratum-ibid. **1305**, E01 (2013)] [arXiv:1301.5908 [astro-ph.CO]].

[19] M. S. Madhavacheril, N. Sehgal and T. R. Slatyer, arXiv:1310.3815 [astro-ph.CO].

[20] This number is reduced if there had been entropy production processes such as out-of-equilibrium decay of heavy particles.

[21] In small region in Fig. 2 for $\alpha_H = 10^{-2}$, $f\alpha_H \gtrsim 10^{-8}$ where both freeze-in and freeze-out mechanism can contribute in producing DM.